Case 2:14-cv-02083-ESW Docume	ent 1 Filed 09/19/14 Page 1 of 10
Jessica J. Burguan, Bar no. 026208 Brian M. Strickman, Bar no. 030256	
BURGUAN CLARKE LAW OFFICE, PL 2910 North 7th Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85013 Telephone: (623) 266-7035 Fax: (623) 266-1267 jessica@azlawpractice.com brian@azlawpractice.com	LC
Attorneys for Plaintiff	
UNITED STATES I	
DISTRICT O	FARIZONA
Kimberly Fisher, an individual,	No.
Plaintiff, vs.	Complaint
Glendale Elementary School District,	
Defendant.	
Plaintiff Kimberly Fisher, for her claims	or relief against Defendant alleges:
PARTIES AND .	URISDICTION
1. Plaintiff, Kimberly Fisher, is a r	esident of Maricopa County, Arizona.
2. Upon information and belief.	Defendant, Glendale Elementary School
District ("District"), is a political subdivision	of the State of Arizona and operates within
Maricopa County, Arizona.	
3. Defendant caused events to or	cur and committed acts in Arizona, which
form the basis of this Complaint.	
4. This court has personal jurisc	liction because the acts of which Plaintiff
complains of occurred while Plaintiff was a	resident of Maricopa County, Arizona, and
Defendant continuously transacted business	in Maricopa County, Arizona acting in the
1	

capacity as employer of Plaintiff. Therefore, this court has personal jurisdiction over the parties.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant is an employer who employs fifteen or more employees for twenty or more calendar weeks and is thus, subject to 42. U.S.C. § 2000(e) et seq. commonly known as Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

6. This court has subject matter jurisdiction to render relief for the claim of unlawful employment discrimination pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 1331, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e) et. seq., and 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

7. Venue is proper in this Court because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within this jurisdictional district.

General Allegations

8. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations of the prior paragraphs of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

9. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant on January 6, 2011; Plaintiff's position was an hourly non-exempt position.

10. On or about the middle of 2011, Plaintiff became aware that District was engaging in discriminatory practices against female candidates for the position of Director of Finance Purchasing and Director of Maintenance Operations.

11. On or about the middle of 2011, Plaintiff made her concerns known to the President of the Governing Board of District; she was instructed to make her concerns known in writing.

12. On or about November 17, 2011, Plaintiff delivered her concerns in writing to the Governing Board of District.

13. Based on information and belief, District continued its discriminatory practices, and Plaintiff continued to voice her concerns between on or about November of 2011 and April 2012.

14. On or about April 12, 2014, Plaintiff applied for the position of Coordinator for Classified.

15. Plaintiff was not considered for the position, even though her credentials satisfied the requirements for the position.

16. On or about the week of May 27th, 2012, Plaintiff's supervisor Kevin Hegarty asked Plaintiff whether she would be interested in doing District's wellness program.

17. Mr. Hegarty and Plaintiff completed preliminary paperwork for the wellness program position.

18. On or about June 21, 2014, Plaintiff was informed that the wellness program opportunity had been removed.

19. On or about October 11, 2012, Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC for violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act

20. In November and December of 2012, Plaintiff was subjected to unfounded, baseless disciplinary actions, including a letter of reprimand and recommendations that Plaintiff be transferred.

21. On or about December 14, 2012, District administration offered to dismiss its disciplinary action against Plaintiff, if Plaintiff would dismiss her EEOC complaint.

22. On or about January 30, 2013, Plaintiff observed that District had provided false information to the EEOC, and Plaintiff submitted a public records request for that information.

23. During this time frame between when Plaintiff filed her EEOC complaint and January 30, 2013, Plaintiff's supervisor made the completion of Plaintiff's work tasks extremely difficult.

24. On or about January 30th, Plaintiff's supervisor Mr. Rick Conrad instructed Plaintiff that she was required to attend meetings that would require district to pay Plaintiff overtime, except that District would not pay Plaintiff overtime.

25. District's mistreatment of Plaintiff was orchestrated to force her resignation.

26. District's treatment of Plaintiff caused Plaintiff's health to deteriorate.

27. Plaintiff tendered her forced resignation on or about February 1, 2013.

28. Plaintiff's last day of work for District was on or about February 21, 2013.

29. On September 11, 2013, Plaintiff filed a second EEOC complaint against District.

30. Plaintiff's second EEOC complaint was for discrimination due to race, age, retaliation, denial of due process, and constructive discharge in violation of, inter alia, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.

31. Plaintiff received a right to sue letter on June 30, 2014 from the EEOC, and July 8, 2014 from the Arizona Attorney General's Office.

32. Plaintiff served all members of District's governing board with a notice of
claim on September 15, 2014.¹

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

¹ Plaintiff will subsequently amend her complaint after District has been afforded the 60days to respond to her notice of claim, as required by law.

COUNT ONE (Violation of Arizona Civil Rights Act)

33. Plaintiff incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully herein.

34. The aforementioned actions by Defendant constitute, among other things, a violation of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1463, *et seq*.

35. Defendant's actions are the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages, an amount which will be determined at trial.

COUNT TWO (Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)

35. Plaintiff incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully herein.

36. Defendant's actions intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff on account of her race and gender, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, by denying her equal terms, conditions and privileges of employment, including but not limited to, denying her an opportunity to be considered for positions as Coordinator for Classified, and with District's wellness program.

37. The discrimination and retaliation was severe and pervasive and interfered with Plaintiff's ability to perform her job.

38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages in amount to be proved at trial, including but not limited to loss wages, embarrassment, pain and suffering, mental distress, ordeal, humiliation and emotional distress.

COUNT THREE

(Retaliation in violation of Arizona's Employment Protection Act)

5

39. Plaintiff incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully herein.

40. Defendant's actions for Plaintiff's refusal to engage in lawful conduct were retaliatory in nature and in violation of A.R.S. §§ 23-1501(3)(c)(i) and (ii).

41. Defendant's actions were carried out intentionally and with a conscious disregard to the interests of Plaintiff and specifically its own self-interests, thereby exposing Defendant to liability for exemplary and punitive damages.

41. Plaintiff has been damaged as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT FOUR (Constructive Discharge)

42. Plaintiff incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully

herein.

43. Plaintiff provided sufficient notice to Defendant of the unpleasant working conditions.

44. The working conditions were such that any reasonable employee would have been forced to resign.

45. The patter of discriminatory harassment against Plaintiff was so severe that any reasonable employee would have felt compelled to resign.

46. Defendant's conduct towards Plaintiff effected a constructive discharge pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 23-1502 (A)(1) and (2).

47.	Plaintiff has been damaged as a direct and proximate result of defendant's
conduct in a	an amount to be proven at trial.
48.	COUNT FIVE (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress) Plaintiff incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully
herein.	Traintin incorporates an of the foregoing anegations as it set forth fully
49.	Defendant's conduct towards Plaintiff was extreme and outrageous.
50.	Defendant's conduct was intended to cause severe emotional distress to
Plaintiff.	
51.	Plaintiff sustained severe emotional distress as a result of the Defendant's
conduct.	
52.	Plaintiff's severe emotional distress has resulted in damages to Plaintiff, for
which she i	s entitled to damages from Defendant, in an amount to be proven at trial.
53.	COUNT SIX (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981) Plaintiff incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully
herein.	
54.	As is set forth about, Plaintiff was denied due to her race and/or appearance
(Hispanic)	the same right to enjoy the benefits, privileges, terms and conditions of her
contractual	relationship with District as other employees, including but not limited to, the
manner and method of evaluation, promotion, and the ability to work in an environment	
free of racia	ally motivated harassment by other staff members, and administration.
	7
1	1

55. Plaintiff has been retaliated against for having complained about such discrimination in numerous ways, including but not limited to, the manner and method of her evaluations, and the job duties she was assigned.

56. Such discrimination and retaliation as outlined above is in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

57. As a result of such discrimination, harassment, and retaliation, Plaintiff has suffered a substantial amount of severe emotional distress over the past two years, causing multiple effects upon her, including anxiety and depression.

58. In addition to all the relief which Plaintiff may be entitled, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs in this matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b) and requests that the Court award the same.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff requests a jury trial with respect to all claims so triable.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment as follows:

- (A) Awarding judgment in an amount to be proven at trial in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendant for all damages provided for under applicable law, including but not limited to compensatory damages, punitive damages, front pay, and back pay, statutory and liquidated damages;
- **(B)** Awarding Plaintiff interest as provided for by law;

8

(C) Awarding Plaintiff her costs and attorneys' fees as provided for by law pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b), A.R.S. §§ 12-34,1 and 12-341.01, 41-1481(J); and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

(D) Awarding Plaintiff such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 19th day of September, 2014.

BURGUAN CLARKE LAW OFFICE, PLLC

By <u>/s/ Jessica J. Burguan</u> Jessica J. Burguan Attorney for Plaintiff Kimberly Fisher

By <u>/s/ Brian M. Strickman</u> Brian M. Strickman Attorney for Plaintiff Kimberly Fisher

	Case 2:14-cv-02083-ESW Document 1 Filed 09/19/14 Page 10 of 10	
1	CERTIFICATE OF FILING	
2	I hereby certify that on September 19, 2014, I electronically transmitted Plaintiff's Complaint using the CM/ECF System for filing.	
3	Complaint using the CM/ECF System for filing.	
4		
5	/s/Carrie Foote	
6		
7		
8 9		
9 10		
10		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	10	